

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,750	01/08/2004	Kevin Todd Massey		1749
38471	7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	IUM GROUP HOLDI	CHAMBERS,	CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S	
10497 CENTENIAC ROAD LITTLETON, CO 80127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			( <u>/</u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/707,750	MASSEY ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mike Chambers	3711		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	anuary 2004.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/707,750

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "and is not limited to" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by " not limited to "), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 as well as understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chough in view of Carney. Chough discloses the elements of claim

Application/Control Number: 10/707,750

Art Unit: 3711

2744

1 with the exception of plates and counter set screws. Carney discloses the use of plates and counter set screws (fig 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the plates and counter set screws of Carney with the device of Chough in order to more easily repair the device when damaged or non-functional.

As to claim 2 as well as understood: Chough discloses a tubular shaft (fig 2 item 37).

As to claim 3 as well as understood: Chough discloses two chambers (fig 2 item 47).

As to claim 4 as well as understood: Chough discloses rollers are parallel with the putting surface (fig 2).

As to claim 5 as well as understood: Carney discloses bottom plates that keep the components housed within said putter head (fig 1).

As to claim 6 as well as understood: Carney discloses counter sunk screws (fig 1 item 4).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,750

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2300043\*5692969\*5577965\*3319964\*2426274\*5 527035\*6547672\*5993324\*4535992 Michael Chambers Examiner Art Unit 3711

April 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700